



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 21-214      **Version:** 1      **Name:**  
**Type:** Information/Discussion Item      **Status:** Agenda Ready  
**File created:** 3/29/2021      **In control:** Heritage Preservation Commission  
**On agenda:** 4/8/2021      **Final action:**  
**Title:** Continued Discussion and Feedback on Draft Sign Checklist  
**Sponsors:**  
**Indexes:**  
**Code sections:**  
**Attachments:** 1. 1 - Memo re: Sign Checklist from Commissioner Thomas, 2. 2 - 03-13-21 DRAFT General Check List for Wall Signs, 3. 3 - Draft Sign Checklist 02-12-21 (from March meeting)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

**Meeting Date:** April 8, 2021  
**To:** Members of the Heritage Preservation Commission  
**From:** Mikayla Schmidt, City Planner

Continued Discussion and Feedback on Draft Sign Checklist

### Action Requested:

The HPC is asked to discuss and give feedback on the draft sign checklist created by Commissioner Thomas.

### Summary Report:

The HPC has noted in their work plan the use and creation of a checklist to assist applicants in the downtown historic district with their sign proposals. At the March HPC meeting, the commissioners reviewed a draft sign checklist, created by Commissioner Thomas, and gave initial feedback for some changes.

The HPC is asked to have additional discussion and give feedback on the draft.

The HPC Work Plan identifies:

Develop a Dichotomous Key or Yes/No checklist for evaluating Historic District sign proposals