(reserved for recording information)

CITY OF NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS RESOLUTION ZBA #2018-002

A RESOLUTION BY THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE CITY OF NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA, APPROVING A VARIANCE REQUEST AT 507 SOUTH WATER STREET

WHEREAS, Bryan Ackerman, has requested a variance to permit the construction of an accessory building on that certain property legally described in Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, based on LDC Table 3.2-4: Dimensional Standards for the C1 District, an accessory building should maintain 5 foot setbacks from the rear and side property lines; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals held a public hearing, following required public notice thereof, on June 21, 2018, and has reviewed the variance from regulations identified in the Land Development Code and has considered the statutory variance criteria identified in the Staff report and proposed findings.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Northfield Zoning Board of Appeals has duly considered the required criteria contained in state law and the LDC and hereby adopts the findings of fact contained in the staff report, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the requested variance is hereby approved and granted, based upon the above-referenced adopted findings, to allow the accessory building to be constructed one foot from the rear and side property lines in the same basic location as the existing building.

PASSED by the Zoning Board of Appeals of the City of Northfield this 21st day of June 2018.

Chair		

	Member		
VOTE:	 BUCKHEIT RSTUART _		

EXHIBIT A

Property Legal Description

The West 90 feet of the South 54 feet of Lot 7 and the West 90 feet of the North 2/3 of Lot 8, all in Block 47 of the Original Town (now city) of Northfield, Rice County, Minnesota, subject to and including a driveway easement over and across the South 6 feet of the North 15 feet of the West 90 feet of said Lot 7, said driveway to be used in common with the adjoining owners of the said West 90 feet of said Lot 7, their heirs or assigns.

And the South 1/3 of Lot 8, in Block 47, in the Original Town (now city) of Northfield, Rice County, Minnesota.

Abstract Property - Rice County, Minnesota

EXHIBIT B

FINDINGS OF FACT

Northfield Land Development Code Table 3.2-4 C1 District Site Development Standards for the East of Highway 3 Sub-District require five foot rear and side setbacks for Accessory Buildings. The applicant is requesting one foot of setback on three sides.

Analysis of the variance request is addressed below.

Criterion (a) The variance is in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the LDC. The purpose of the Downtown (C1) district is to sustain the historic central business district, make the Highway 3 corridor a more integral and attractive part of Downtown, provide design transitions to surrounding zoning districts, provide a strong relationship to the Cannon River and thus enhance the beauty, appreciation and benefits of the river, and to augment and increase Downtown viability and prosperity. The C1 District aims to provide a compact, pedestrian friendly, active mix of land uses including business, hospitality, offices and services, housing, arts and culture, government, public gathering places and points of interest for residents and visitors alike. The design standards of this district reflect the character of the historic downtown and will help create a sense of arrival and center by locating buildings close to the sidewalk or road, providing compatible facades along the Cannon River, building intimate places for people to gather outdoors, or minimizing negative effects on residential neighborhoods.

Finding: The project is in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the LDC. The proposed use is consistent with the general goals for the C1 zone district by providing much needed storage or business space in the downtown. The shed project will improve the commercial area by improving the current structure to better blend in with surrounding commercial buildings.

The Land Development Code was adopted to protect and promote the public health, safety, morals, and general welfare of the city. More specifically, the purpose of these regulations is to:

- 1.1.1 Maintain and enhance the community's distinct small town character.
- 1.1.2 Preserve and protect the area's natural, historic, and cultural resources while providing for improved methods of integrating these resources in the community.
- 1.1.3 Encourage growth in infill locations as the desired location of development with expansion on the edge of the city a secondary priority.
- 1.1.4 Create residential community areas with strong neighborhood qualities including pedestrian-friendly streets, community gathering spaces, and basic commercial needs within walking distance.
- 1.1.5 Encourage the development of neighborhoods that incorporate a variety of housing types to serve the needs of a diverse population.
- 1.1.6 Allow for places with a mixture of uses that are distinctive and contribute to the city's overall vitality.

- 1.1.7 Provide standards and guidelines for continuing strategic growth and sustainable development.
- 1.1.8 Provide for the expansion and diversification of the economic base to assure a strong economy.
- 1.1.9 Promote an ethic of sustainability in all activities to ensure that proposed development and redevelopment will, at a minimum, conserve energy and natural resources.
- 1.1.10 Improve and promote connectivity to better serve residents and to improve the function of the overall street network.
- 1.1.11 Ensure that proposed development is of human scale, primarily pedestrianoriented to the extent appropriate, and designed to create exceptional streetscapes and pedestrian spaces.
- 1.1.12 Minimize vehicle traffic by providing for a mixture of land uses, pedestrianoriented development, compact community form, safe and effective multi-modal traffic circulation (e.g., pedestrian, bicycle, and vehicular), and adequate on- and off-street parking facilities.
- 1.1.13 Protect the rural character of certain areas of the community as identified in the comprehensive plan.
- 1.1.14 Encourage vibrancy in the downtown core and fringe areas.
- 1.1.15 Ensure compatibility between different types of development and land uses.
- 1.1.16 Create a comprehensive and stable pattern of land uses upon which to plan transportation, water supply, sewerage, energy, and other public facilities and utilities.

The proposal is not in conflict with any LDC goals. It meets the following purposes:

- 1.1.3: The project is proposed on an infill site and will result in intensified use of a downtown parcel.
- 1.1.12: The project will increase the mix of uses on the property. The property provides ample off-street parking spaces.
- 1.1.14: The project will be an asset to this location, and encourage a vibrancy in the downtown core. It will provide space for another downtown business.
- 1.1.15: The project is compatible with the surrounding land uses.

Criterion (b) The variance is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

The Land Use chapter of the Comprehensive Plan will be consulted for any development proposal based on the following steps. If a proposal is not consistent with recommendations of any one of these steps, the proponent should re-evaluate and make adjustments (or provide justification for deviation) if the proposal is not aligned with the following three aspects:

- 1. **Intent**: Development proposals will reflect the spirit and values expressed in the 12 **principles** (statements of intent) (pages 4.9 to 4.13).
- 2. **Location**: Development proposals will be consistent with the **Conservation and Development Map** (page 4.18) and location descriptions (pages 4.14 to 4.15).
- 3. **Character**: Development proposals will be consistent with the **Framework Map** (page 4.19) and recommendations and context descriptions (pages 4.15 to 4.17).

Finding: The variance is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

Intent:

1. The small town character will be enhanced.

Replacing an eyesore building with new construction will enhance the character of the downtown.

2. The natural environment will be protected, enhanced and better integrated in the community.

n/a

3. The preference for accommodating future growth is in infill locations, then redevelopment/ land intensification opportunities, and then on the edge of existing developed areas.

This is an infill project in the Core Enhancement area.

4. New and redeveloped residential communities (areas) will have strong neighborhood qualities.

n/a

5. Environmentally-sensitive and sustainable practices will be integrated into new developments and redeveloped areas.

n/a

6. Places with a mix of uses that are distinctive and contribute to increasing the city's overall vitality are preferred.

Allowing a new building will increase the mix of uses on the property and provide new business opportunities downtown.

7. Neighborhood-serving commercial will be small scale and integrated with the residential context.

n/a

8. A wider range of housing choices will be encouraged – in the community as well as in neighborhoods.

n/a

9. Rural character of certain areas of the community will be protected. n/a

10. Streets will create an attractive public realm and be exceptional places for people.

n/a

11. Places will be better connected, in part to improve the function of the street network and also to better serve neighborhoods.

n/a

12. Opportunities will be created to walk and bike throughout the community.

n/a

Location:

The project is located in the Core Enhancement Area of the Conservation and Development map.

Character:

The project is located in the Core area of the Framework Map slated for mixed-use intensification of development.

<u>Criterion (c) Property Owner proposes to use the property in a reasonable manner not permitted by the LDC.</u>

Finding: The applicant is proposing to use the property in a reasonable manner. The requested variance will result in a reasonable use of the property otherwise not permitted by the LDC. The C1 setback regulations effectively block use of the rear of the parcel for an accessory building. The current location of the accessory building is non-conforming to the Land Development Code and encroaches on neighboring property. Both the building code and the LDC preclude the existing building from being reconstructed.

The variance request is based upon the requirements and elements necessary for this type of permitted use on this site to be functional. There is no financial benefit or impact in regards to building location.

<u>Criterion (d) The plight of the landowner is due to circumstances unique to the property</u> not created by the landowner.

Finding: The plight of the landowner is not self-created. The applicant purchased the property in 2017. The shed was built in 1940, prior to the existence of modern zoning ordinances. It has been neglected for many years to the point of extreme decay.

<u>Criterion (e) The variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the locality.</u>
<u>Supported:</u> Granting the variance will not alter the essential character of the locality. The project will be compatible with the character of the surrounding properties. The existing building has been there for 78 years and is a part of the essential character. Improving the building will improve the aesthetic appeal of the property. The building will not change in size and will be sided to match the color of the principal building.