## Emerald Ash Borer Management Plan



## EAB Background



- Originally found in St. Paul 2009
- Found in Prior Lake 2015 (Scott)
- Found in Apple Valley 2016
(Dakota)



## EAB Background

## An Inverse Relationship



toledo street before and after emerald ash borer BEFORE: JUNE 2006


## Important Factors to Consider

-     - Environmental Impact/ Sustainable Urban Forest
-     - Value of Removed Trees
-     - Cost (long-term and short-term)


## Methods for Managing EAB Infestation

- Preemptive Removal and Replacement
- Reactive Removal and Replacement
- Treatment


## Five Options to Control EAB

1) Remove all Ash trees and don't replace:
2) Remove all Ash trees and replace:
\$1,501, 663
3) Remove $50 \%$ Replace $50 \%$ Treat $50 \%$
\$1,226, 258
4) Treat 16" and above, Remove and Replace Remaining:
\$1,608,406
5) Treat all Trees:
\$1, 223, 295

## 50-50-50 Approach

- Remove and Replace 50\%
- Treat the Remaining 50\%
- Reevaluate in 10 Years

| Condition of Tree | DBH Rance | Total Number of Trees | Number of Trees Removed and Replaced | Number <br> of <br> Trees <br> Treated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <13" DBH | <13" | 842 | 842 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Very Poor | 8-23" | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Poor | 18-42" | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Fair | 13-36" | 25 | 25 | 0 |
| Good | $<19$ " | 89 | 89 | 0 |
|  | >19" | 177 | 0 | 177 |
| Very Good | 13-38" | 652 | 0 | 652 |
| Excellent | 13-35" | 117 | 0 | 117 |
| Total |  | 1909 | 963 | 946 |

## Budget

| YEAR | REMOVAL <br> COST | REPLACEMENI <br> COST | TREATMENI <br> COST | YEARIY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 58,098$ | $\$ 125,033$ |
| 2 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 58,055$ | $\$ 124,990$ |
| 3 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 60,245$ | $\$ 127,180$ |
| 4 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 60,161$ | $\$ 127,096$ |
| 5 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 62,391$ | $\$ 129,326$ |
| 6 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 62,267$ | $\$ 129,202$ |
| 7 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 64,538$ | $\$ 131,473$ |
| 8 | $\$ 33,195$ | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 64,373$ | $\$ 131,308$ |
| 9 |  | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 66,684$ | $\$ 100,424$ |
| 10 |  | $\$ 33,740$ | $\$ 66,479$ | $\$ 100,219$ |

## Annual Cost Comparison

| Years 1-10 | Base Case | 50-50-50 Plan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Costis |  |  |
| Removal Costs | \$833, 163 | \$265,563 |
| New Tree Costs | \$668,500 | \$337,400 |
| Treatment Costs |  | \$623, 295 |
| Total Costs | \$1,501,663 | \$1,226, 258 |
| Cost Savings |  | \$275,405 |
|  |  |  |
| Cummulative Tree Value | \$1,715,546 | \$3, 379, 952 |

## Recommendation 50-50-50 Approach

- Remove and Replace 50\%
- Treat the Remaining 50\%
- Reevaluate in 10 Years
- Remove 50\% Replace 50\% Treat 50\% \$1,226, 258 over 10 Years
- Annually $\$ 125,000-\$ 135,000$ for 10 - years

Questions


