

CITY OF NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA
HERITAGE PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION HPC #2023-004

A RESOLUTION BY THE HERITAGE PRESERVATION COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF
NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA, APPROVING A CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS
FOR 300 DIVISION ST S

- WHEREAS, the applicant, Cody Larson, (the “Applicant”), is seeking a Certificate of Appropriateness (“COA”) from the City of Northfield Heritage Preservation Commission (“HPC” or “Commission”) for deck stairs as more particularly described in Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference (the “Work”), for certain property owned by the Applicant located at 300 Division St S in the City of Northfield (the “Property”); and
- WHEREAS, the Property is located within a designated historic district, the Northfield Commercial Historic District, a locally designated district and a district on the National Register of Historic Places; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to Northfield City Code (the “City Code”), Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (A), unless otherwise exempted in Section 7.8.3 or unless otherwise provided in Section 8.5.8, a COA is required for construction, exterior alteration or rehabilitation, moving or demolition of a building or structure on a city-owned or privately owned heritage preservation site; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code, Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (A), unless otherwise provided in City Code, no zoning certificate or building permit shall be issued before a COA has received approval; and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code, Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (A)(1), the proposed Work is classified as Major Work requiring the Type 3 review procedure as established in Section 8.4.6, Type 3 Review Procedure (Heritage Preservation Commission Decision); and
- WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code, Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (D), for proposed new construction, as well as alteration, remodeling, rehabilitation, relocation or addition to an existing building, structure or historic object, the HPC, based upon the above review procedure, shall consider the following in evaluating an application for a COA, and shall make written findings regarding approval or denial of the same by resolution (Criterion (a) below must be met and criteria (b) through (g) shall be considered, if applicable to an application):
- (a) For all applications, the proposed action it fully complies with all applicable requirements of this LDC;
 - (b) That the proposed action is in harmony with the intent purpose of the H-O district for sites located in the H-O district;

- (c) That the proposed action would complement other structures within the H-O district for sites located in the H-O district;
- (d) That the proposed action is consistent with the Downtown Preservation Design Guidelines for sites located in the H-O district, and consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties for sites located within or outside the H-O district;
- (e) Consideration should be given to the amount and quality of original material and design remaining in the building or structure when applying criteria, guidelines and standards;
- (f) For new construction, the building or addition should be compatible with:
 - (i) scale, texture, materials, and other visual qualities of the surrounding buildings and neighborhoods;
 - (ii) the height, width, depth, massing and setback of the surrounding buildings; and
 - (iii) the amount of solid wall to window and door openings, and the replacement of window and door openings, should be proportional to that of the surrounding buildings and neighborhood; and
- (g) Consideration shall be given to clear cases of economic hardship or to deprivation of reasonable use of the owner's property.

; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code, Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (D), the Applicant bears the burden of proof of meeting the foregoing criteria; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to City Code, Chapter 34, Section 8.5.8 (E), this decision of the HPC shall be final unless timely appealed to the City Council by filing a written notice of appeal with the City Clerk no later than ten (10) days after date of the HPC's decision; and

WHEREAS, the Commission held a duly noticed public meeting, on May 3, 2023, to consider testimony from the Applicant and the public regarding the COA, and has considered such testimony and reviewed the requested COA on the Property pursuant to the above criteria.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE HERITAGE PRESERVATION COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF NORTHFIELD, MINNESOTA, that the Commission has duly considered the required criteria contained in City Code as applicable to the above-requested COA regarding the Property, and hereby adopts the findings of fact contained in the staff report regarding the same, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B, along with the above recitals hereto.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the requested COA is hereby approved, based upon the above-referenced adopted findings.

PASSED by the Heritage Preservation Commission of the City of Northfield this 3rd day of May, 2023.


Chair


Member

VOTE: ____ ALLEN ____ CLARK ____ EVANS
 ____ JARMAN ____ MEEHAN ____ STANGLER ____ STEED



EXHIBIT A

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WORK






1. **DEGREE** IS A **NUMERICAL PROPERTY** OF A **RELATION** AS IT APPLIES TO **ONE** OF ITS **RELATIONS** AND NOT TO THE **RELATION** ITSELF.
 2. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 3. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 4. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 5. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 6. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 7. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 8. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 9. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.
 10. **RELATION** IS A **PROPERTY** OF **RELATIONS** AND NOT OF **INDIVIDUALS**.





LITTLE JOY DECK
LITTLE JOY COFFEE SHOP
300 DIVISION STREET SOUTH
NORTHFIELD MINNESOTA
WHIPPLE & SON CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT #: 2147
DRAWN/CHECK BY: BCT / AJ
PRELIM DATE:
PENAL SET DATE: NOV 15, 2012
REVISED SET DATE: APRIL 05, 2012
PRINTED: Apr 06, 2012


FLOOR
PLAN
A2



7 DECK RAIL DETAIL
SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"




4 TREAD/RISER DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE




Fastener	Allowable Load / Factored Resistance (lbs)	
	1½" rim board	1" e-Rim™
½" lag bolt	400 / 630	N.A. / N.A.
¾" lag bolt	435 / 700	505 / 815

Allowable load determined in accordance with AC 124.
Corrosion-resistant fasteners required for wet-service applications.



2 DECK LEDGER DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE



1 POST CAP DETAIL
NOT TO SCALE



LITTLE JOY DECK
LITTLE JOY COFFEE SHOP
3300 DIVISION STREET SOUTH
NORTHFIELD MINNESOTA
WHIPPLE & SON CONSTRUCTION

PROJECT #: 23477
DRAWN/CHK BY: RCF / AJT
PRELIM DATE:
FINAL SET DATE: NOV 15, 2022
REVISED SET DATE: APRIL 05, 2023
PRINTED: Apr 06, 2023

FRAMING DETAILS

A3

EXHIBIT B

FINDINGS OF FACT

The Northfield city ordinances outline, in Chapter 34 - Land Development Code, Section 8.5.8, the Heritage Preservation Commission's Certificate of Appropriateness process and approval criteria. The HPC shall consider the following in evaluating an application for a certificate of appropriateness for a zoning certificate and/or building permit. Criterion (A) below must be met and criteria (B) through (G) shall be considered:

Note: The findings below are only for this proposed change, please reference the previous staff reports (dated 10/14/21 and 5/12/22) for the findings for the entire COA application.

Criteria A, For all applications, the proposed action fully complies with all applicable requirements of this LDC;

Findings: The proposed action complies with the LDC.

Criteria B, That the proposed action is in harmony with the purpose of the H-O district for sites located in the H-O district;

Findings: The proposed action is in harmony with the intent of the H-O district. Within the LDC Section 2.5.3, Historic Overlay District (H-O) purpose is defined “(a) Safeguard the heritage of the city by preserving sites and structures which reflect elements of the city's cultural, social, economic, political, visual or architectural history; (b) Protect and enhance the city's appeal to residents, visitors and tourists and serve as a support and stimulus to business and industry; (c) Foster civic pride in the beauty and notable accomplishments of the past; and, (d) Promote the preservation and continued use of historic sites and structures for the education and general welfare of the people of the city.”

- a) The proposed change does not impact the historic Jacob Sitze building.
- b) The proposed change will allow for the current tenant and neighboring businesses to utilize the riverfront area.
- c) The proposed change will draw attention to the beauty of the historic Jacob Sitze building.
- d) The proposed change promotes the continued use of the historic Jacob Sitze building for the tenant and visitors.

Criteria C, That the proposed action would complement other structures within the H-O district for sites located in the H-O district

Findings: The changes will complement other structures within the H-O district. The new location of the stairs will make the deck more usable with the neighboring buildings.

Criteria D, That the proposed action is consistent with the Downtown Preservation Design Guidelines for sites located in the H-O district, and consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Treatment of Historic Properties for sites located within or outside the H-O district;

Findings: Not applicable.

Criteria E, Consideration should be given to the amount and quality of original material and design remaining in the building or structure when applying criteria, guidelines and standards;

Findings: Not applicable.

Criteria F, For new construction, the building or addition should be compatible with: (i) scale, texture, materials, and other visual qualities of the surrounding buildings and neighborhoods; (ii) the height, width, depth, massing and setback of the surrounding buildings; and (iii) the amount of solid wall to window and door openings, and the replacement of window and door openings, should be proportional to that of the surrounding buildings and neighborhood;

Findings: Not applicable.

Criteria G, Consideration shall be given to clear cases of economic hardship or to deprivation of reasonable use of the owner's property.

Findings: Not applicable.