#### **Bridge Square Comments from the HPC**

(A Subcommittee Report of Barb Evans, Jesse Stead, and Alice Thomas)

All documents and presentations should state that Bridge Square and Riverside Park are local, state and nationally designated Historic Preservation Sites.

### **Proposed Renovations:**

The following are comments on Bridge Square based on the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards* for the *Treatment of Historic Properties* and the *Guidelines for the Treatment of Cultural Landscapes*. Overall, it is difficult to respond fully to this plan since the details are not stated in a written document or a more detailed map. It is difficult to know, for example, whether the current mailbox will continue to be in Bridge Square or whether this plan provides parking for the Post Office, among other issues. A larger, drawn-to-scale, picture would be helpful for not only the HPC but also the public to comment on the plan. Additionally, the video, drawing, and text on the City's website make no mention of the fact that Bridge Square is within the Historic District, including its contributing and noncontributing features.

The Historic District Nomination Form includes the site description: "Bridge Square is a contributing property within the Northfield Commercial Historic District. It is associated with the historic contexts "Designed Historic Landscape, 1855-1945," and "Commerce, 1955- 1945." Although it has been significantly redesigned since its formal construction in the 1920s, it retains fair historic integrity and the retention of the Civil War Monument especially adds to its significance." According to the Registration Form, Bridge Square contains one contributing structure (monument), one non-contributing structure (sculpture), and two contributing sites (square and park).

Note: The following comments focus on Phase 1 of the Bridge Square project with overviews of Phase 2 and Phase 3.

# Phase 1:

### 1. The Plaza: Civil War Memorial

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan: The HPC likes that the Civil War Memorial is remaining in its original location, given that the feature is designated as a "contributing structure" in the Historical Site. The HPC would be abdicating our responsibility if we were to ignore the Secretary of Interior Standards which note that "removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided". The memorial holds a position of prominence in the center of the plaza, and the HPC likes that it will remain in place and be surrounded by open space as its historical context.
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan:
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider:

### 2. The Green: Fountain/Sculpture

- a. <u>Please share a few items you like about the plan:</u> The HPC likes that the fountain will remain in the same location.
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: This item is not a contributing feature; however, it is only eight years away from being eligible to be a contributing feature. The HPC does not want the fountain moved or modified. It is difficult to decipher how the fountain would be changed with a possible splash pad and new seating.
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: Most important in consideration of the fountain is that, the modification would involve redesigning the fountain art piece. Local artists have noted "Changing the sculpture on the square dismisses the idea and the artistry," and another that "Jake was always concerned about the "surround" [the wall proposed to be removed] for his sculptures.

Other factors in opposing a proposed modification for a splash pad include the following: would be used only a few month a year; it is not necessary (there will be a splash pad elsewhere in the city); children already splash with their hands in the current fountain; and it would eliminate the heavily used year-around seating on the surround wall.

Leaving the sculpture/fountain as is could provide an opportunity of adding A storyboard or sign could be added to explain sculpture and to note that originally in the center of the square was that this used to be a different type of water feature, a water pump and trough for horses.

- **3.** The Green: Grass (closing of Water Street)
  - a. <u>Please share a few items you like about the plan:</u> While the removal of the street adversely affects the historical landscape, it does provide an important additional uses in the Square.
  - b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: The Secretary of Interior Standards suggest that an original feature should not be entirely erased and noted that "Designing and installing compatible new circulation features when required by the new use should assure the preservation of historic character of the landscape". In this case, if the area of the current street were elevated to be level with the rest of the square (as proposed) AND the original "shadow" of the street were covered in pavers vs. grass, it would acknowledge the historical street and visually separate the two portions of Bridge Square into the Square and the Park, as it was during the period of significance.
  - c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: If pavers were used on the area of the street it would also 1) provide some hard surface between the two grassy areas that would be more vehicle friendly for food trucks, stages, etc., 2) make the space more flexible than in the current plan, and 3) would reduce the total area of grass which would reduce time, effort and money to maintain. The Green is attractive

on paper, but does not seem practical. Is the City ready to provide the appropriate maintenance needed for the area that is projected to include heavy vehicles during special occasions?

#### 4. The Terrace

- a. <u>Please share a few items you like about the plan:</u> It is good that this will be a space for a portable stage and not a permanent stage.
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: The size of the proposed Terrace, most, but not all, of which is in the Historic District area, affects the character of the historical landscape. If it were smaller and if it were linked to the above proposed pavers in the area of Water St., the historical character would be less affected. Reducing the size of the current hard surface terrace and providing some grass in the area would also provide more flexibility of the space, and be more similar to the historical context. It is difficult to tell from the plans and the images what the green horizontal stripes in the plan in that area indicate.
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: It might be better to have pavers instead of concrete it is difficult to tell what type of ground material there will be. This should not be so stark as to be inconsistent with the open historical features of Bridge Square. The location and number of trees in the square but particularly in the terrace could obscure the view of the river and falls (see below)

#### 5. Adding Trees

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: The Secretary of Interior Standards note that "New additions are not recommended that detract from or alter the historic topography, e.g., a new feature that would obscure an historic shoreline." The location and number of additional trees needs to be revised to prevent the historical view of the river (a significant feature of the square) from being obscured from the square. While trees provide shade and have environmental benefits, the loss of the view of the river is a significant factor in maintaining the integrity of the square.
- Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: How big will the trees be at a mature age? Would they obscure the view of the river and falls?

#### 6. The Riverwalk - north portion (is within Historical District)

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- **b.** Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan:
  - Details are missing about the width allocated to the "pedestrian walk", "biking trail", and "fishing area" between the river and the benches and circular planter. Is there enough room for all these users that seem to be sharing the same space?

- Details are missing about the planned bike lane connectivity at both the south and north ends. Does the "bike trail" violate any City regulations about riding on sidewalks downtown?
- **c.** Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: The bikers could be directed to share the paved area of the current street and the new proposed paver area in the closed street area to travel between 4<sup>th</sup> St. and Water across Bridge Square

#### Phase 2:

### 7. The Riverwalk – south portion (not in Historical District, but affecting Historical District)

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: The planned removal of almost all parking in that area will affect the use of the Bridge Square as a shopping area, and will definitely require additional parking elsewhere which could possibly push parking into historical areas.
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: The proposed new design and lack of easy access to the Historic Post Office might also affect the future viability of the Historic Post Office a serious, negative outcome. If the Post Office loses too many customers, Northfield could lose it in this location. Narrowing the area could also make it more difficult for the mail trucks to deliver to the Post Office. This area needs much more thought but there is time given that it is the 2nd phase.

Was the Milltown Bike Trail incorporated or consulted?

### 8. The Landing (not in the Historical District – won't affect the Historical District

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: : "The Landing" as described in the drawing and the video does not mention any areas in the Historic District or alterations to the dam. If any alterations to the dam are included in Phase 2 (or at any time), that will involve the HPC (the dam is a Historic Site), SHPO, and other agencies.
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider:

## Phase 3:

(Note: Only general comments could be made due to lack of detailed information)

## 9. The Bridge (In the Historical District)

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan: The Bridge is a contributing feature in the Historic District. It is difficult to judge the extent to which the new design will affect the historical character since it is not clear about the details of the plan. While it is a good idea to improve pedestrian safety on the bridge, any change in details should retain the historical character. A clarification is also needed of the possible effect on access for the heavy 4th St. commercial delivery traffic (reported by the commerce interests) will/could be re-routed if 4th St. is significantly changed.
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider:

### 10. The Frame (in Historical District)

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan:
- c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider:

### 11. Woonerf (in Historical District)

- a. Please share a few items you like about the plan:
- b. <u>Are there any items you feel are missing from the plan</u>: Details about the plans for making the street level with the sidewalks and Bridge Square area are missing. These plans would provide important additional space for some events in the square but could adversely affect the historic character of the landscape, depending on implementation.

If the street level is raised, details are missing about the specific options some of which would affect the historic character of the landscape, and others that could be compatible: Adversely affecting historic character.

- Removing parking. The square has always been a commercial area and some type of parking and vehicular movement around the square have been available to the customers. Removing parking would affect both the historical character and affect the future viability of the businesses including the Post Office.
- Closing the street and adding grass on the former street area. Adding grass to the elevated area would change the historic character of Bridge Square

Possible options that could retain integrity.

- Use pavers to replace the concrete street that has been elevated (in a similar manner proposed for Water Street). The standards suggest that an original feature should not be entirely erased noting that "Designing and installing compatible new circulation features when required by the new use should assure the preservation of historic character of the landscape."
- Keep the paver street open to traffic and retain parking; close street only for special occasions, as has been the practice in recent years. In this case, if the area of the current street were elevated to be level with the rest of the square and the original "shadow" of the street were covered in pavers vs. grass, it would acknowledge the historical street while retaining the "land use pattern" for traffic and parking used historically.
- As with the closed Water St. area, it would provide additional hard surface for occasionally use of food trucks, stages, etc.

In summary, if the street is modified by raising, the historic integrity could be retained by 1) using pavers to show a "shadow" of where the street was and 2) maintaining the "land use pattern" of the street serving parking, and heavy traffic and movement around the square, and closing only for special events.

c. Any additional comments you would like the City to consider: Have the businesses along Water Street been consulted about the changes that impact their parking? If parking is reduced here, it could impact parking for historical buildings or if a new parking location is constructed elsewhere in the district. Overall, losing parking is a concern.

#### Attachments:

- Bridge Square Registration Form
- Bridge Square Nomination Form
- Review of Literature of Secretary of interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties

**General Comments:** (Note: Comments below have been incorporated into the draft of the March 10, 2022 HPC Memo to City Council and Staff regarding Certificate of Appropriateness in Historic District)

It is not too late for a Certificate of Appropriateness. The HPC disagrees with staff's interpretation that a Certificate of Appropriateness is not required. While LDC 7.8.3 says that "The city and other government entities carrying out a governmental function, activity, or implementation of essential services *may* be exempt in whole or in part from this LDC to the extent permitted in state and federal law," that doesn't mean that have to be exempt. Furthermore, the next line reads "The city and other governmental agencies that are exempt from the regulations of this LDC, in whole or in part, are encouraged to meet the requirements of this LDC to the maximum extent possible" and coming to the HPC for a Certificate of Appropriateness would suffice. The HPC believes the intent of the LDC is for government entities to still come forward for a Certificate of Appropriateness.

For future phases, there should be more involvement with the HPC. For future phases, the consultant should return to the HPC. Once construction drawings are completed, the plan should come

back before the HPC for a Certificate of Appropriateness. The HPC should be involved because we have something to contribute and because of statute. The HPC does not want to hold up the project timeline for going forward. If any additional structures are added to Bridge Square, it should be reviewed by the HPC. For example, if a restroom is going to be added to Bridge Square, it should come before the HPC for a Certificate of Appropriateness. The HPC is the local authority and if too many changes are made, this could impact Bridge Square's status on the national register.

**HPC Recommendations for Phases** To be considered at the HPC Meeting on March 10, 2022.

#### Recommendations for Phase 1:

- 1. Return to HPC with a to-scale drawing of the Square, specifying any and all changes to the Civil War Monument (none) and to the Sculpture/Fountain in that plan.
- 2. Redesign current Water Street area (which will be closed) with pavers as per national standards explained in the sub-committee report to preserve the history of that street.
- 3. Redesign "The Green" based on #2 above. This will preserve the two historic areas of The Square and The Park.

### Recommendations for Phases 2 and 3:

- 4. Consultants should meet with the HPC to identify features and elements to be preserved in future phases.
- 5. Phase 2: Clarify any alterations to the dam being considered.
- 6. Phase 3: Clarify any alterations proposed to the 4th Street Bridge. Work with the HPC to preserve what remains of the integrity of the Historic Bridge